

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/049,362	02/06/2002	Thomas Gordon Beck Mason	30794.61USWO	1006
22462 7	2590 10/14/2005		EXAM	INER
GATES & COOPER LLP			NGUYEN, DUNG T	
HOWARD HUGHES CENTER 6701 CENTER DRIVE WEST, SUITE 1050 LOS ANGELES, CA 90045		Z 1050	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		21000	2828	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		AR				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/049,362	MASON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dung (Michael) T. Nguyen	2828				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are provided by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH tute, cause the application to become ABAN	TION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04	August 2005.					
· <u>—</u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	,,					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-8 is/are pending in the a	pplication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·				
6) Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-8 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	t/or election requirement					
are subject to restriction and	aror orodion requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami		·				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	** *	, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
	Examiner. Note the attached C	MIGG ACTION OF TOMITY TO-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
_						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	•••					
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	•					
Attachment(s)	•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-3 and 5-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The limitation of the electro-absorption modulator does not include quantum wells is not disclosed in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kinoshita (US5883914).

With respect to claim 1, Kinoshita shows in Fig. 1 a tunable laser source comprising an active region including MQWs (col.3, 1.12-13) on top of a thick, low bandgap (since the claim does not recite how thick and how low bandgap of the waveguide; therefore the examiner assumes that the Kinoshita waveguide has a thickness and a low bandgap as recited), single common waveguide (col.3, 1.15-16), wherein both the waveguide layer and the active region are fabricated between a p-doped region and an n-doped region; and an electro-absorption (EA) modulator integrated into the semiconductor laser (Fig. 1), wherein the EA modulator does not include QWs and instead uses Franz-Keldysh effects for modulation and tuning (col.3, 1.12-21), the EA modulator shares the waveguide with the semiconductor laser, and the waveguide layer is designed to provide high index tuning efficiency in the laser and good reverse bias extinction in the modulator (it is inherent that in order to improve for high speed modulation in the tunable laser source the waveguide layer must be designed to provide high index tuning efficiency in the laser and good reverse bias extinction in the modulator).

With respect to claim 7, Kinoshita disclose a blocking junction (col.3, 1.27-38).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/049,362

Art Unit: 2828

Claims 2-3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kinoshita (US5883914) in view of Coldren (US4896325).

With respect to claims 2-3, Kinoshita (US5883914) disclose all limitations of the claims except for a sampled grating back mirror, a phase control section, a gain section, and a sampled grating front mirror.

Coldren teaches in Fig. 8 a sampled grating back mirror 40, a phase control section 32, a gain section 36, and a sampled grating front mirror 42.

For the benefit of continuously lasing tuning in a multi-section tunable laser with differing multi-element mirrors, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Kinoshita (US5883914) what is taught by Coldren.

With respect to claim 8, Coldren discloses in col.8, 1.5-10 the laser is tuned by adjustment of control current for the mirrors.

For the benefit of providing a diode laser in the selective tuning capable over an extended wavelength (col.8, 1.40-42), it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Kinoshita (US5883914) what is taught by Coldren.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kinoshita (US5883914)) in view of Berger et al. (US5208821).

Application/Control Number: 10/049,362

Art Unit: 2828

Kinoshita (US5883914) disclose all limitations of the claim except for the waveguide layer is a buried heterostructure waveguide that includes multiple quantum wells (MQW).

Berger teach the waveguide layer is a buried heterostructure waveguide that includes multiple quantum wells (MQW) 26 (col.1, 1.11-21).

For the benefit of the substitution of an unreliable ridge waveguide lasers with MQW that are weakly index-guided and mode-unstable (col.1, 1.14-21), it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Kinoshita (US5883914) what is taught by Berger.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kinoshita (US5883914) in view of Yap (US5138626).

Kinoshita (US5883914) disclose all limitations of the claim except for the waveguide layer is a ridge waveguide that includes multiple quantum wells (MQW).

Yap teaches the waveguide layer is a ridge waveguide that includes multiple quantum wells (MQW) (col.1, l.51-52).

For the benefit of a laser device producing a reliable performance and yielding a simple fabrication (col.1, 1.63-65), it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide Kinoshita (US5883914) what is taught by Yap.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Communication Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung (Michael) T Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1949. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 17:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Min Harvey can be reached on (571) 272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/049,362

Art Unit: 2828

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-3329.

Michael Dung Nguyen

MINSUN CH HARVEY PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 7